PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	From the INTERNATION	. BUREAU		
PCT NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY) (PCT Rule 72.2)	To: EICHSTÄDT, Alfred Kuhbergstr. 23 96317 Kronach ALLEMAGNE	PA Maryniok & Eichstädt 15, Juni 2005	G.	
Date of mailing (day/month/year) 09 June 2005 (09.06.2005)		Frist:	U	
Applicant's or agent's file reference PCT 703	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION .			
International application No. PCT/EP2003/008342	International filing date (day/month/year) 29 July 2003 (29.07.2003)			
Applicant STRAUCE	H, Helmut			

1. Transmittal of the translation to the applicant.

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation made by the International Bureau of the international preliminary examination report established by the International Preliminary Examining Authority.

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following elected Offices requiring such translation:

AZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, GH, KG, KP, KR, MK, MZ, RU, TM

The following elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, TJ, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report.

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Agnes Wittmann-Regis

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 89 70



Translation





PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PCT 703	FOR FURTHER ACT		cation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
International application No.	International filing date ((day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/EP2003/008342	29 July 2003 (29	9.07.2003)	08 November 2002 (08.11.2002)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n D21G 3/00	ational classification and L	PC			
Applicant	STRAUCH,	Helmut .	·		
This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	5 sheets, in	cluding this cover s	heet.		
	r this report and/or sheets of	containing rectifica	on, claims and/or drawings which have been tions made before this Authority (see Rule		
These annexes consist of a to	otal of she	eets.	. '		
3. This report contains indications rela	ting to the following items	::	į		
I Basis of the report		•			
II Priority			1		
III · Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to n	ovelty, inventive ste	ep and industrial applicability		
IV Lack of unity of inv	IV Lack of unity of invention				
Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
VI Certain documents cited					
VII. Certain defects in the	ne international application	i.			
VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report			
07 June 2004 (07.06.2004)		30	July 2004 (30.07.2004)		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		Authorized officer			
Facsimile No.	т	elephone No.			



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Internal application No.

PCT/EP2003/008342

I. Basis	of the rep	ort				
1. With	regard to	the elements of the international application:*				
	the inter	national application as originally filed				
冈	the desc	ription:				
	pages	1-11 , as originally filed				
	pages	, filed with the demand				
	pages _	, filed with the letter of				
\square	the clain					
	pages	as originally filed				
	pages	, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19				
	pages	, filed with the demand				
	pages	1-15, filed with the letter of 07 June 2004 (07.06.2004)				
	the draw	1/2 2/2 as originally filed				
	pages _ pages	(C) 1 (A) Al				
	pages _	, filed with the letter of, nied with the demand				
<u> </u>	•	·				
	the sequer	nce listing part of the description:				
	pages	, as originally filed				
	pages	, filed with the demand				
	pages -	, filed with the letter of				
2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:						
	ר	guage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
▎⊨	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
	i i	guage of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/				
3. Wi pre	th regard liminary ex	to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international camination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
	contain	ed in the international application in written form.				
	filed to	gether with the international application in computer readable form.				
	furnish	ed subsequently to this Authority in written form.				
	furnish	ed subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		atement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the tional application as filed has been furnished.				
		atement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has mished.				
4.	_	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
ļ		the description, pages				
		the claims, Nos				
i		the drawings, sheets/fig				
5.	This rep	out has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**				
in and	* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16 and 70.17).					
** Any	replacem	ent sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.				
		·				

Reasoned statement under Article 35 citations and explanations supportin	5(2) with regard to novelty, in g such statement	ventive step or industrial appri	
Statement		•	
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-15	YES
Moverty (14)	Claims		NO
	Claims	1-15	YES
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		NO
	Ciamis		
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-15	YES
	Claims		NO NO

- 2. Citations and explanations
 - Reference is made to the following document:

D1: DE 31 36 085 A

2. None of the available prior art documents describes a scraper blade mounting device as per independent claim 1. Although the closest prior art document, D1, does show a scraper blade mounting device, the latter has none of the features of the characterising portion of claim 1.

The device as per claim 1 is therefore novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

The known prior art (whether D1, which is the closest prior art, or the remaining search report citations) does not in any way prompt a person skilled in the art to design the device known from D1 as per the characterising portion of the corresponding claims.

The problem of protecting a scraper blade mounting device from dirt is not discussed in D1.

The arrangement of all moveable components of the retaining device on one side, that is, the underside, of the covering plate prevents dirt from entering these components.

The aforementioned measure is therefore based on a consideration that is not a logical development of the prior art.

The device according to claim 1 should therefore meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(3) since it is functional and can be manufactured, and is therefore also industrially applicable (PCT Article 33(4)).

4. Dependent claims 2 to 15 relate to advantageous embodiments of the device according to claim 1 and therefore likewise meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2), (3) and (4).

Box VII

- Contrary to PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description does not cite D1 or indicate the relevant prior art disclosed therein.
- Claim 1 contains a typing error (cf. line 13 "Langrohr (5)" instead of "Lagerrohr (5)" [German text only]).